

- REMARKS / ARGUMENTS -

Summary of the Examiner's office action

The specification and the drawings are objected to for informalities.

Arguments

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for his indication of allowability at point 2 of the office action.

Specification

The abstract was amended as recommended by the Examiner. The passage which was objected to now reads :

"The particle explosion effect creation tool allows users to create their own particle explosion effect by defining their own shapes in a graphics image data file and allows to graphically define a plurality of explosion parameters of the video particle explosion effect in the graphics image data file."

The disclosure was amended as follows :

- at paragraph [053], the reference character for the video editing controller was changed from numeral 44 to 32 to correct a typographical error. This is supported by original Fig. 1.
- at the end of paragraph [053], the following passage was added, which is fully supported by original Fig. 1 : *"The parameters are stored in the video effect storage 35 and are transferred between the video effect control module 30 and the video editing controller 32 using channel 44."*

- in paragraph [054], the video source was specified to be transferred in the graphic overlay memory 34 and information was specified to be transferred between the graphics engine command interface 21 and the video effect control module 30 via channel 43. This is supported by original Fig. 1.
- throughout the specification, the expression “graphics engine 36” was replaced by “graphics chip or engine 36” as supported by the original specification and Fig. 1.
- in paragraph [064], the information that the graphics engine has completed the 2D and 3D operations was indicated to be sent via feedback command 90 as supported by original paragraph [063] and original Fig. 6.

None of the amendments carried out introduce new matter.

The amendments to the specification are believed to overcome all objections to the specification and the drawings since all reference characters used in the drawings are now mentioned in the specification. No amendment to the drawings is believed to be necessary.

Conclusion

This response directly addresses the issues raised by the Examiner and follows the Examiner's recommendations. Therefore, it is believed that a Notice of Allowance can be directly issued by the Examiner.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that claims 11-24 are allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
David Bordeleau et al.

By:

/ Isabelle Chabot /

Isabelle CHABOT
Registration No. 55,764
Patent agent
Tel. No. 418-640-5174

Customer Number 020988
OGILVY RENAULT, LLP